

< November 2018>

Hadlima

Ministry of Food and Drug Safety

APPROVED

PART A - ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION						
Entered by:	Biosimilar Product Information					
МАН	Name of the biosimilar medicinal product	Hadlima (Company project code: SB5)				
МАН	МАН	Samsung Bioepis (Songdo-dong) 107, Chemdan-daero, Yeonsu-gu, Incheon, Republic of Korea				
NRA	Authorisation / Licence number	Samsung Bioepis Co. Ltd., /3				
MAH / NRA	API manufacturing facilities and batch release site for the finished product (if applicable)	< N/A > < Confidential – Not Released >				
MAH	Name of the active substance	Adalimumab (INN)				
MAH	Pharmaco-therapeutic group	ATC code: L04AB04				
МАН	Substance category	Monoclonal antibody				
MAH	Pharmaceutical form	Solution for injection in a pre-filled syringe				
MAH	Quantitative composition	40 mg of adalimumab in a total volume of 0.8 ml				
МАН	Route of administration	SC (Subcutaneous)				
МАН	Packaging/material	Syringe/glass				
MAH	Package size(s)	1 pre-filled syringe/pack				
MAH	Local legal basis	Pharmaceutical Affairs Act article 42 and Enforcement for the drug safety article 4				
MAH	Local biosimilar guidelines	Guidelines on the Evaluation of Biosimilar Product(MFDS, 2014)				
МАН	Date of authorisation/licensing of biosimilar	20 September 2017				
	Reference Biothe	erapeutic Product (RBP) Information				
MAH	Name of the RBP	Humira				
MAH	Authorised indications for RBP	Adults Rheumatoid arthritis				

Psoriatic arthritis



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for

< November 2018>

Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

		 Ankylosing spondylitis Non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis Adult Crohn's Disease Plaque psoriasis Ulcerative Colitis Intestinal Behçet's disease Hidradenitis suppurativa Uveitis Pediatric Pediatric Crohn's Disease Polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis Enthesitis-related arthritis Pediatric plaque psoriasis Pediatric uveitis 			
МАН	Pharmaceutical form	Solution for injection in a pre-filled syringe Solution for injection in a pre-filled pen			
МАН	Quantitative composition	40 mg of adalimumab in a total volume of 0.8 ml			
MAH	Route of administration	SC (Subcutaneous)			
MAH	Packaging/material	Syringe/glass			
MAH	Package size(s)	1 pre-filled syringe/pack 1 pre-filled pen/pack			
МАН	Authorisation (Licence) number (of RBP)	AbbVie Korea / 13			
MAH	Date of authorisation (of RBP)	19 July 2006			
МАН	Authorisation (Licence) Holder (of RBP)	Abbvie Korea			
MAH	Source of RBP (or other	Republic of Korea			
	comparator) for comparability	European Union			
		United States			
MAH /	Availability of the RBP	Initial Authorization			
NRA	assessment report	https://www.nifds.go.kr/brd/m_88/list.do?itm_seq_1= &srchTn=0&srchWord=%ED%9C%B4%EB%AE%B			
		<u>8%EB%9D%BC</u>			
	S	ummary of outcomes			
MAH	Comparability exercise to	Physicochemical and biological characterization study			
	demonstrate similarity to RBP	Comparative <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i> non-clinical studies			
		Comparative clinical studies(PK, efficacy, safety and			
		immunogenicity).			
NRA	Availability of full assessment	Initial Authorization			
	report (language)/link	$\frac{\text{https://www.nifds.go.kr/brd/m_88/list.do'/itm_seq_l=}{\text{&srchTn=0&srchWord=%ED%95%98%ER%93%9C}}$			
		<u>%EB%A6%AC%EB%A7%88</u>			



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for

< November 2018>

Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

МАН	Indications applied for (if different to RBP)	Adults Rheumatoid arthritis Psoriatic arthritis Ankylosing spondylitis Non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis Adult Crohn's Disease Plaque psoriasis Ulcerative Colitis Pediatric Polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis Enthesitis-related arthritis
NRA	Authorised indications for biosimilar	Adults Rheumatoid arthritis Psoriatic arthritis Ankylosing spondylitis Non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis Adult Crohn's Disease Plaque psoriasis Ulcerative Colitis Pediatric Polyarticular juvenile idiopathic arthritis Enthesitis-related arthritis

MAH (Marketing Authorisation Holder) or Sponsor NRA (National Regulatory Authority) i.e. CA (Competent Authority)



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

< November 2018>

PART B - SUBMITTED DATA AND REVIEWER SUMMARY						
Procedure: <initial application=""> <variation supplement=""></variation></initial>						
Variation	n number and scope: [Quality / Safety / Efficacy / Risk Management] and description>					
MAH	Quality data. Composition of the diosimilar product(s)					
	Adalimumab 40 mg					
	Sodium citrate					
	Critric acid monohydrate					
	Histidine					
	Histidine hydrochloride monohydrate					
	Sorbitol Delveerbete 20					
	Water for Injection					
MAH	Ouality data. State-of-the-art methods					
	Structural Characteristics					
	- Primary Structure analysis: Molecular weight, Amino acid sequence, N- terminal					
	sequence, C-terminal sequence, Peptide map, Disulphide bridges, Free sulphydryl					
	groups, Methionine oxidation, Asparagine deamidation					
	- High order structure analysis					
	Physiochemical Test					
	- Purity and impurity profiles, Charge variants, N-glycan profile, protein					
	concentration					
	Biological Activities					
	- Fab-related biological properties: $INF-\alpha$ binding, $INF-\alpha$ neutralisation, and					
	apoptosis activity Ec related biological properties: EcvRIa, EcvRIIa, EcvRIIb, EcvRIIIa, EcRn, Cla					
	hinding and ADCC/CDC activities					
	- Additional biological properties: inhibition of cytokine release assay (in vitro IBD					
	model), inhibition of apoptosis assay (in vitro IBD model), regulatory macrophage					
	function assay, inhibition of adhesion molecule expression, transmembrane TNF-					
	α binding assay, Fc γ RIIIa (158F/F) binding, Fc γ RIIIb binding and LT α 3 binding					
	assay					
	Degradation characteristics					
	- Temperature stresses Photostability Oxidation induction					
NRA	Quality data assessment outcome					
	Commentancing hand to hand commerciality studies were norfermed using orthogonal					
	highly sensitive test methods to evaluate similarity between Hadlima and Humira The					
	similarity assessment demonstrated that major quality attributes of Hadlima were					
	comparable to those of Humira with respect to the primary and higher order structures,					
	post-translational modifications, physicochemical and biophysical properties, and biological activities. The similarity range was defined using the sufficient batches of EU					
	Humira, and the bridging data demonstrated the equivalence of EU Humira. US Humira.					
	KR Humira, and Hadlima.					
	Due to the complex heterogeneity in the structure of Adalimumab, slight differences were found in non-glycosylated havy chain (NGHC), charge variants binding affinity to FeyR					
NRA	 tunction assay, inhibition of adhesion molecule expression, transmembrane TNF- α binding assay, FcγRIIIa (158F/F) binding, FcγRIIIb binding and LTα3 binding assay Degradation characteristics Temperature stresses, Photostability, Oxidation induction Quality data assessment outcome Comprehensive head-to-head comparability studies were performed using orthogonal, highly sensitive test methods to evaluate similarity between Hadlima and Humira. The similarity assessment demonstrated that major quality attributes of Hadlima were comparable to those of Humira with respect to the primary and higher order structures, post-translational modifications, physicochemical and biophysical properties, and biological activities. The similarity range was defined using the sufficient batches of EU Humira, and the bridging data demonstrated the equivalence of EU Humira, US Humira, KR Humira, and Hadlima. Due to the complex heterogeneity in the structure of Adalimumab, slight differences were found in non-glycosylated havy chain (NGHC), charge variants, binding affinity to FcγR 					



< November 2018>

IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

	and post-translational modifications including N-glycan profile, C-terminal Lys variants, and oxidation compared to Humira; higher levels of NGHC, Afucose, and acidic variants, and lower levels of G0F, C-terminal Lys, and basic variants. However, those differences were not considered clinically meaningful since those had no impact on the biological activities associated with the known primary mechanism of action including binding affinity to TNF-a, C1q, Fc γ R, and Fc γ Rn, apoptosis, antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC), and complement dependent cytotoxicity (CDC), as determined by
	Structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies.
	Overall, based on the totality of evidence with respect to all quality characteristics and global clinical studies, the biosimilarity of Hadlima to the Humira was concluded
MAH	Mechanism of action
	Hadlima (Adalimumab) is a genetically engineered recombinant human immunoglobulin IgG1 monoclonal antibody that neutralises the biological function of both soluble and transmembrane forms of TNF- α by blocking its interaction with the p55 and p75 cell surface TNF receptors and modulates biological responses that are induced or regulated by TNF, including changes in the levels of adhesion molecules responsible for leukocyte migration
MAH	Nonclinical data. In vitro studies
	Non-clinical studies conducted during development of SB5 include examination of TNF- α neutralization assay, Apoptosis activity, ADCC/CDC activity, TNF- α binding activity, Fc γ RIa binding assay, Fc γ RIIa binding assay, Fc γ RIIa binding assay, Fc γ RIIIa binding assay, Fc γ RIIIb binding assay, Fc γ RIIB binding assay, Fc γ RII
MAH	Nonclinical data. In vivo studies
	<i>In vivo</i> pharmacological study <i>In vivo</i> PD study to demonstrate similarity in efficacy between SB5 and US Humira in Tg197 transgenic mousde model of arthritis at dose levels of 0.5, 3, and 10 mg/kg twice weekly for 7 weeks.
	Pharmacokinetics/Toxicokinetics Similarity in the PK/TK profiles between SB5 and US Humira in cynomolgus monkeys following repeated subcutaneous administraton at a dose level of 32 mg/kg, as part of the 4-week repeat-dose toxicity study.
	Toxicity study A 4-week comparative repeat-dose toxicity study in cynomolguos monkey to demonstrate similarity in toxicity, toxicokinetic, and immunogenicity profiles of SB5 and US Humira [®] at a dose level of 32 mg/kg.
NRA	Nonclinical data assessment outcome
	1. In vitro studies See Quality assessment data outcome. 2. In vivo studies



	<i>In vivo</i> pharmacological study (Tg197 transgenic mouse model of arthritis) showed similar result between the SB5 and US Humira [®] treated groups.
	TK studies in 4-week repeat-dose toxicity in cynomolgus monkey, showed similar PK profile between the SB5 and US Humira [®] treated groups (C _{max} , and AUC _{0-t}).
	A 4-week comparative repeat-dose toxicity study in cynomolgus monkeys was conducted to demonstrate similarity in toxicity, toxicokinetic, and immunogenicity profiles of SB5 and US Humira [®]
	CLINICAL STUDIES - include relevant study data from the following (not all may be required) which have been included to demonstrate biosimilarity.
	 Pharmacokinetic, PK Pharmacodynamic, PD Efficacy, Safety,
	Immunogenicity.
MAH	Clinical data. PK studies
NRA	 Study Number: SB5-G11-NHV Summary of design : Comparative PK study for randomized, single-blind, three-arm, parallel group, single-dose, phase I trial in healthy male subjects (Randomized 189: 63 subjects in each of the 3 treatment groups) Objective and primary endpoint: Demonstration of equivalence PK in terms of area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) from time zero to infinity (AUCinf), Area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) from time zero to the last quantifiable concentration (AUC_{last}), Maximum serum concentration (C_{max}) between SB5 and Humira[®] in healthy male volunteers after the single dose injection. Dose used : Single dose subcutaneous injection of 40 mg of either SB5, EU Humira or US Humira Length of the study: 10 weeks
	The primary PK endpoint, the geometric LSMean ratio for the comparison of SB5/EU Humira [®] for AUC _{inf} , AUC _{last} and C _{max} were comparable in the Hadrima and Humira [®] (both EU sourced and US sourced). SB5 and EU Humira [®] showed comparability between the two products as the ratios (90% CI) of geometric means for primary PK endpoints AUC _{inf} , AUC _{last} and C _{max} were 0.990, 1.027 and 0.957, respectively and hence were all within equivalence margin for 0.8 to 1.25. SB5 and US Humira [®] showed comparability between the two products as the ratios (90% CI) of geometric means for primary PK endpoints AUC _{inf} , AUC _{last} and C _{max} were 1.001, 1.025 and 0.972, respectively and hence were all within equivalence margin for 0.8 to 1.25.
MAH	Clinical data. PD studies
	No specific PD study was conducted.



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

< November 2018>

NRA	Clinical data. PD data assessment outcome							
	Not applicable							
MAH	Clinical data. Efficacy studies Study Number: SB5 C31 RA							
	 Summary of design :A randomized phase III, double-blind, parallel group, multicenter study to compare the efficacy, safety, tolerability, immunogenicity and pharmacokinetics between SB5 and EU Humira in subjects with moderate to severe RA despite MTX therapy. Subjects were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive either SB5 or EU Humira. At Week 24, subjects receiving EU Humira were randomized to either continue EU Humira of be transitioned to SB5 up to Week 50. Subjects receiving SB5 continued to receive SB5 up to Week 50, but they also followed the randomization procedure to maintain blinding (Randomized 544: 271 subjects in SB5 treatment group and 273 subjects in EU sourced Humira treatment group). Objective and primary endpoint: Demonstration of the equivalence of SB5 to EU Humira at Week 24, in terms of the ACR20 response rate. Secondary efficacy endpoints: 9 efficacy endpoints including ACR20 at Week 52, ACR50, 70 at Week 24 Dose used: 40 mg subcutaneous injection of SB5 of EU Humira every other week Length of the study: 60 weeks (52 weeks of active treatment and 8 weeks of safety 							
NRA	Clinical data. Efficacy data ass	essment out	come					
	confidence interval for the difference in the ACR20 response rate at Week 24 was contained within the predefined equivalence margin (\pm 15%) in the Per Protocol populations (95% CI: -7.83, 8.13). At week 24 and 52, the results of the secondary endpoints (in particular ACR50 and ACR70, ACR-N, AUC of ACR-N up to week 24, DAS28, EURAR response) were all consistent with the results of the primary endpoint. These data were further supported by comparable response rates at Week 52.							
	Treatment n/N	(%)	Estimated Differe Proportions	ence in	95% CI			
	SB5 173/239 EU Humira 171/227	(72.4%) (72.2%)	0.1%		(-7.83, 8.13)			
	* N: number of patients in the pe * Nonparametric randomisation- stratification factor and baseline	r-protocol se based analys C-reactive p	et, n: number of resp is of covariance was rotein (CRP) value	oonder s used wit as a covat	th region as a riate.			
МАН	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunog the study and comparability marg	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity studies (specify population, dose used, length of the study and comparability margins)						
	Safety and immunogenicity data and SB5-G31-RA	Safety and immunogenicity data were collected from all clinical study: SB5-G11-NHV and SB5-G31-RA						
NRA	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunog Safety. Overall incidence of TEA both up to Week 24 and 52. And Both SAEs were assessed to be u	Clinical data. Safety/ Immunogenicity data assessment outcomeSafety. Overall incidence of TEAEs and SAE were comparable across all treatment groupboth up to Week 24 and 52. And safety profile was similar between SB5 and EU Humira.Both SAEs were assessed to be unrelated to the IP.						
	Treatment		SB5 N=268		Humira N=273			



IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for

< November 2018>

Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

		1.		1	(0 ()				(0 ()	
	Number of subject experiencing		n	(%)	E	ľ	1	(%)	E	
	TEAE			140	(52.2)	325	1:	94	(56.4)	402
	* E: frequency of treatment-emergent adverse events									
								\ A		
	Immunogenicity. There was a significant (p-value =0.816) difference in overall ADA									
	formation at week 24. Antibody formation in SB5 was considered to be comparable to that in the ECL, using appropriately validated methods.									
	Time ADA		$\frac{5B3}{N-269}$			$\frac{\Pi u \Pi \Pi a}{N-272}$				a
	Timepoint	result		<u>11–200</u>	<u>(0()</u>	$\frac{N-2/3}{N}$			I I	j-value
	NV 1.04	D '.'	n	n 70	(%)	n 2(0	N ¹	(%	(0)	0.01(
	Week 24	Positive	246	/9	(32.1)	260	81	(3)	1.2)	0.816
	week 52	Positive	246	88	(35.8)	260	9/	(3)	$\frac{7.3}{D^{-1}}$	
	p-value is bas	sed on Chi-s	squared to	est if nu	imber of su	bjects wi	th posit	ive A	DA Witi	nin
	group is at leas	st 5, otherw	lse Fisnei	Sot 1 (l lest	who roo	airrad at	laget	1 daga	of ID
	during the stud	$\left \mathbf{v} \right $	lie Salety	Set I (a	all subjects	who rec	erveu ai	. 10451		JI II
	uning me sudy)									
	n' number of patients with available ADA results at each timenoint									
MAH	Interchangeal	oility data								
	No additional data were provided									
MAH	Additional information about the comparability exercise									
	Not applicable.									
MAH	Post-authorization measures									
	Re-examination study in Korea									
	Period: 20 September 2017~ 19 December 2021									
NRA	Post-authoriza	ation risk r	neasures	: assess	sment outc	ome.				
	Post-marketing	g surveillan	ce study ((re-exar	nination stu	ıdy) plan	was co	nside	red to be	e
	acceptable. Number of subjects of Hadlima for re-examination study met the MFDS						5			
	criteria (over 4	00).								
MAH	Availability of	f additiona	l relevan	t inform	mation in t	he local	langua	ge/ li	nk	
	Not applicable									

	PART C - REVIEWER CONCLUSIONS
NRA	Conclusions on biosimilarity, approval

The data provided by the Applicant were in line with the local legislation and guidelines. <The data provided by the Applicant were in line with the local legislation, guidelines and international guidelines.>

<u>Quality</u>

The biosimilar manufacturer has developed and validated a process capable of consistently manufacturing a product of appropriate quality, with satisfactory control of impurities. Manufacturing operations are carried out according to cGMP requirements.

The quality attributes of high relevance for clinical safety and efficacy, e.g. physicochemical characteristics and biological activities of Hadlima were comparable to those of the reference biotherapeutic product Humira



< November 2018>

IPRP – PASIB TEMPLATE Public Assessment Summary Information for Biosimilar IPRP Biosimilars WG

Nonclinical

No major differences in nonclinical data were observed for Hadlima compared to the reference biotherapeutic product Humira.

Clinical Studies

The PK and efficacy studies to demonstrate biosimilarity conducted in healthy subjects and Rheumatoid Arthritis patients provided robust evidence there are no clinically meaningful differences Hadlima versus the reference biotherapeutic product Humira.

Safety: Overall incidence of TEAEs and SAEs were comparable across all treatment group both up to Week 24 and 52. And safety profile was similar between Hadlima and EU Humira.

Immunogenicity: The proportion of patients who developed anti-drug antibodies (ADA) with SB5 was generally similar to that of patients who developed ADAs with EU Humira in the reference biotherapeutic prdocut Humira.

Risk Management

The risk management plan (or equivalent) was considered to be acceptable.

Overall Conclusion

Satisfactory assurance of biosimilarity was demonstrated using an appropriate comparability exercise. The biosimilar product Hadlimawas considered approvable